

LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE FOR PIECEWISE MONOTONIC MAPS WITH DENSITY OF PERIODIC MEASURES

YONG MOO CHUNG AND KENICHIRO YAMAMOTO

ABSTRACT. We show that a piecewise monotonic map with positive topological entropy satisfies the level-2 large deviation principle with respect to the unique measure of maximal entropy under the conditions that the corresponding Markov diagram is irreducible and that the periodic measures of the map are dense in the set of ergodic measures. This result can apply to a broad class of piecewise monotonic maps, such as monotonic mod one transformations and piecewise monotonic maps with two monotonic pieces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a metrizable space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a Borel measurable map. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the set of all Borel probability measures on X endowed with weak-* topology, by $\mathcal{M}_T(X) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ the set of all T -invariant ones, and by $\mathcal{M}_T^e(X) \subset \mathcal{M}_T(X)$ the set of ergodic ones. We say that (X, T) satisfies the (*level-2*) *large deviation principle* with a *reference measure* $m \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ if there exists a lower semi-continuous function $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, called a *rate function*, such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m(\{x \in X : \delta_n^T(x) \in \mathcal{K}\}) \leq -\inf_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}$$

holds for any closed set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m(\{x \in X : \delta_n^T(x) \in \mathcal{U}\}) \geq -\inf_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{J}$$

holds for any open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$. Here, $\delta_n^T: X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ is defined by $\delta_n^T(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{T^j(x)}$, where δ_y signifies the Dirac mass at a point $y \in X$. We say that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a *periodic measure* if there exist $x \in X$ and $n > 0$ such that $T^n(x) = x$ and $\mu = \delta_n^T(x)$ hold. Then, it is clear that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T^e(X)$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_T^p(X) \subset \mathcal{M}_T^e(X)$ the set of all periodic measures on X .

Hereafter, let $X = [0, 1]$ be the unit interval, and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a *piecewise monotonic map*; that is, there exist an integer $k > 1$, and $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = 1$, which we call the *critical points* for the rest of this paper, such that $T|_{(a_{j-1}, a_j)}$ is continuous and strictly monotone for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. If $T|_{(a_{j-1}, a_j)}$ is increasing (resp. decreasing) for $1 \leq j \leq k$, then we call T

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37A50, 37E05, 37B10; Secondary 60F10.
Key words and phrases. large deviation, piecewise monotonic map, periodic measure.

a *piecewise increasing* (resp. *decreasing*) map. Throughout this paper, we further assume the following conditions for a piecewise monotonic map T :

- $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} T^{-n}\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ is dense in X . In other words, the partition $\{(a_{j-1}, a_j) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ by the monotone intervals is a generator for the dynamical system (X, T) .
- The topological entropy $h_{\text{top}}(X, T)$ of T is positive (see [2, Chapter 9] for the definition and basic properties of topological entropy for piecewise monotonic maps).

It is proved in [11] that there exists a measure $m = m_T \in \mathcal{M}_T^e(X)$ of maximal entropy for T ; that is, the metric entropy of m coincides with $h_{\text{top}}(X, T)$. In this study, we investigate if the large deviation principle holds for a piecewise monotonic map with a measure of maximal entropy as reference. In such a situation, it was shown in [24, 27] that the large deviation principle holds if the map has the specification property (see [3, §1] for the definition of the specification property). Moreover, Pfister and Sullivan have proved in [20] that all β -transformations satisfy the large deviation principle, while the specification property holds only for a set of $\beta > 1$ of Lebesgue measure zero.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a criterion for satisfying the large deviation principle using common concepts for piecewise monotonic maps. More precisely, we assume the following natural conditions for piecewise monotonic maps $T : X \rightarrow X$:

• **Irreducibility (IR).** The Markov diagram $(\mathcal{D}_T, \rightarrow)$ of T is irreducible (see §2 for the definitions).

• **Density of periodic measures (DP).** The set $\mathcal{M}_T^p(X)$ of periodic measures is dense in $\mathcal{M}_T^e(X)$.

The condition (IR) implies transitivity of the map, and that the measure of maximal entropy is unique (see [11]). Moreover, the condition (DP) holds for any map with the specification property (see [22]). Our main result is the following:

Theorem A. *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a piecewise monotonic map satisfying (IR) and (DP), and let m be the unique measure of maximal entropy. Then, (X, T) satisfies the large deviation principle with m as reference, and the rate function $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is expressed by (3.5). Moreover, if we assume that T is piecewise increasing and either left or right continuous, then*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mu) = \begin{cases} h_{\text{top}}(X, T) - h_T(\mu) & (\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(X)), \\ \infty & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $h_T(\mu)$ denotes the metric entropy of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(X)$.

We remark that Theorem A can be generalized for the case where the reference measure m is the equilibrium state of a potential discussed in [14]. It will be treated in the forthcoming paper.

The contraction principle [7] gives the following formula for fluctuations of time averages of continuous observables.

Corollary . *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem A. Then for any continuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a closed interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m \left(\left\{ x \in X : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi(T^j(x)) \in J \right\} \right) = - \inf_J \mathcal{J}_\varphi$$

where $\mathcal{J}_\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ denotes the (level-1) rate function for φ given by $\mathcal{J}_\varphi(\alpha) = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{J}(\mu) : \int \varphi d\mu = \alpha \right\}$.

Remark 1.1. If T is continuous, then the condition (IR) implies that (some iteration of) the map has the specification property (see e.g. [3, Theorem 1.1]), and then, the large deviation principle holds (see [25, 27]). By contrast, in the case of discontinuity, we emphasize that there are many examples of piecewise monotonic maps that satisfy (IR) and (DP) but do not have the specification property (see applications below).

Remark 1.2. The condition (IR) is slightly stronger than the transitivity. It is highly likely that Theorem A remains true if we assume transitivity instead of (IR). However, (IR) simplifies the argument used in this study for lifting measures from a subshift with a finite alphabet to a Markov shift with a countable alphabet (see the proof of Proposition 3.1). Hence, we avoided this generalization in this study. We provide a sufficient condition for (IR) in §4.

Remark 1.3. The density of periodic measures (DP) assumed in Theorem A has been studied by many researchers independently from the theory of large deviations. The condition holds for a broad class of piecewise monotonic maps (see [13, 15, 23], for example). However, as of this writing, there is no known transitive piecewise monotonic map without the density of periodic measures (this is an open problem posed by Hofbauer and Raith in [15]). Therefore, we hope that the result of our study would contribute to a complete description of the large deviation principle for transitive piecewise monotonic maps.

Theorem A can be applied to demonstrate the large deviation principle for the following classes of piecewise monotonic maps:

- **β -transformations (piecewise increasing).**

The β -transformation $T_\beta : X \rightarrow X$ with $\beta > 1$ was introduced by Rényi [21] and defined by

$$T_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} \beta x \pmod{1} & (x \neq 1), \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 1-0} (\beta y \pmod{1}) & (x = 1). \end{cases}$$

Then, T_β satisfies (IR) and (DP) for any $\beta > 1$ (see [9, 23]).

- **Linear mod 1 transformations (piecewise increasing).**

The linear mod one transformation $T_{\alpha,\beta}: X \rightarrow X$ with $\beta > 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ was introduced by Parry ([19]) and defined by

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} \beta x + \alpha \pmod{1} & (x \neq 1), \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 1-0} (\beta y + \alpha \pmod{1}) & (x = 1). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

In Example 4.1, we prove that $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfies (IR) and (DP) for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 2$.

- **$(-\beta)$ -transformations (piecewise decreasing).**

The $(-\beta)$ -transformation $T_{-\beta}: X \rightarrow X$ with $\beta > 1$ was introduced by Ito and Sadahiro ([17]) and defined by

$$T_{-\beta}(x) = -\beta x + \lfloor \beta x \rfloor + 1, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\lfloor \xi \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that is no more than ξ . We show that $T_{-\beta}$ satisfies (IR) and (DP) for any $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} < \beta < 2$ in Example 4.2.

- **Maps with two monotonic pieces (may be neither piecewise increasing nor decreasing).**

A piecewise monotonic map $T: X \rightarrow X$ has *two monotonic pieces* if there is $0 < a < 1$ such that both $T|_{(0,a)}$ and $T|_{(a,1)}$ are strictly monotonic and continuous. In this case, the condition (DP) was demonstrated in [15, Theorem 2] for when the map satisfies the condition (IR). A typical example which has two monotonic pieces is the class of one-dimensional Lorenz maps. For the condition (IR) of Lorenz maps, we refer [1, Chapter 3] and [18].




FIGURE 1. Graphs of $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ (left) and $T_{-\beta}$ (right).

The graphs of linear mod one transformations $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $(-\beta)$ -transformations $T_{-\beta}$ are plotted in Figure 1. These transformations do not satisfy the specification property for Lebesgue-almost every parameters (see [3]), and it was not known whether the large deviation principle holds. As mentioned before, we apply Theorem A to these transformations.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In §2, we establish our definitions and prepare several lemmas. Subsequently, we present a proof of Theorem A in §3 and apply it to concrete examples in §4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Symbolic dynamics. For a finite or countable set A , we denote by $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ the one-sided infinite product of A equipped with the product topology of the discrete topology of A . Let σ be the shift map on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ (i.e., $(\sigma(\omega))_i = \omega_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega = (\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$). When a subset Σ of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is σ -invariant and closed, we call it a *subshift* and call A the *alphabet* of Σ . When Σ is of the form

$$\Sigma = \{(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in A^{\mathbb{N}} : M_{\omega_i \omega_{i+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

with a matrix $M = (M_{ij})_{(i,j) \in A^2}$, each entry of which is 0 or 1, we call Σ a *Markov shift*. To emphasize the dependence of Σ on M , it is denoted by Σ_M , and M is called the *adjacency matrix* of Σ_M . In a similar manner, we define the shift map, subshift, and Markov shift for the two-sided infinite product $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of A .

For a subshift Σ on an alphabet A , let $[u] = \{(\omega_i) \in \Sigma : u = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n\}$ for each $u \in A^n$, $n \geq 1$, and set $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma) = \left\{u \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A^n : [u] \neq \emptyset\right\}$. We also denote $\mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma) := \{u \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma) : |u| = n\}$ for $n \geq 1$, where $|u|$ denotes the length of u i.e., $|u| = n$ if $u = u_1 \cdots u_n \in A^n$. For $u = u_1 \cdots u_m$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ in $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$, we denote $uv = u_1 \cdots u_m v_1 \cdots v_n$. Finally, we say that Σ is *transitive* if for any $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$, we can find $w \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ such that $uwv \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ holds. For the rest of this paper, we denote by $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma, \sigma)$ the *topological entropy* of the restriction $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ of the shift map σ to a subshift Σ , and by $h_\sigma(\mu)$ the *metric entropy* of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma)$.

2.2. Markov diagram. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a piecewise monotonic map critical points $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_k = 1$. Let $X_T := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}(\bigcup_{j=1}^k (a_{j-1}, a_j))$, and define the *coding map* $\mathcal{I}: X_T \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$(\mathcal{I}(x))_i = j \text{ if and only if } T^{i-1}(x) \in (a_{j-1}, a_j),$$

which is injective since the partition $\{(a_{j-1}, a_j) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ is a generator. We denote the closure of $\mathcal{I}(X_T)$ in $\{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by Σ_T^+ . Then, Σ_T^+ is a subshift, and (Σ_T^+, σ) is called the *coding space* of (X, T) .

In what follows, we define the Markov diagram, introduced by Hofbauer ([11]), which is a countable oriented graph with subsets of Σ_T^+ as vertices. Let $C \subset \Sigma_T^+$ be a closed subset with $C \subset [j]$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We say that a non-empty closed subset $D \subset \Sigma_T^+$ is a *successor* of C if $D = [l] \cap \sigma(C)$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. The expression $C \rightarrow D$ denotes that D is a successor of C . Now, we define a set \mathcal{D}_T of vertices by induction. First, we set $\mathcal{D}_0 := \{[1], \dots, [k]\}$. If \mathcal{D}_n is defined for $n \geq 0$, then we set

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+1} := \mathcal{D}_n \cup \{D : D \text{ is a successor for some } C \in \mathcal{D}_n\}.$$

We note that \mathcal{D}_n is a finite set for each $n \geq 0$ since the number of successors of any closed subset of Σ_T^+ is at most k by definition. Finally, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_T := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_n.$$

We call the oriented graph $(\mathcal{D}_T, \rightarrow)$ the *Markov diagram* of T . The Markov diagram $(\mathcal{D}_T, \rightarrow)$ is *irreducible* if for any $C, D \in \mathcal{D}_T$, there exist $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{D}_T$ such that $C = C_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_n = D$. For notational simplicity, we use the expression \mathcal{D} instead of \mathcal{D}_T if no confusion arises. We remark that

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\sigma^{|u|-1}[u] : u \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_T^+)\}$$

holds, and that $\sigma^{|u|}[uj]$ is a successor of $\sigma^{|u|-1}[u]$ for each pair $u \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_T^+)$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $uj \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_T^+)$.

For a subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$, we define a matrix $M(\mathcal{C}) = (M(\mathcal{C})_{C,D})_{(C,D) \in \mathcal{C}^2}$ by

$$M(\mathcal{C})_{C,D} = \begin{cases} 1 & (C \rightarrow D), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Then, $\Sigma_{M(\mathcal{C})} = \{(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} : C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a two-sided Markov shift with a countable alphabet \mathcal{C} and an adjacency matrix $M(\mathcal{C})$. For notational simplicity, we denote $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$ instead of $\Sigma_{M(\mathcal{C})}$ for the remainder of this paper. It is clear that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ is transitive if and only if $(\mathcal{D}_T, \rightarrow)$ is irreducible, and it is known that the equality

$$h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}, \sigma) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) = h_{\text{top}}(X, T)$$

holds (see [8]). Moreover, there is a relationship between the countable Markov shift $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ and a natural extension of the coding shift Σ_T^+ . To be more precise, let us define a natural extension Σ_T of Σ_T^+ by

$$\Sigma_T := \{(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \omega_i \omega_{i+1} \dots \in \Sigma_T^+, i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and a map $\Psi: \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\Psi((C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) := (\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ for } (C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}},$$

where $\omega_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ is a unique integer such that $C_i \subset [\omega_i]$ holds for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following lemma, proved in [11], states that $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}, \sigma)$ is topologically conjugate to (Σ_T, σ) , except for “small” sets (see also [4, Appendix]):

Lemma 2.1. ([11, Lemmas 2 and 3]) The map $\Psi: \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is continuous and satisfies $\sigma \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \sigma$. Moreover, there exist two shift-invariant subsets $\bar{N} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $N \subset \Sigma_T$ satisfying the following properties:

- We have $\Psi(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{N}) = \Sigma_T \setminus N$.
- The restriction map $\Psi: \Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{N} \rightarrow \Sigma_T \setminus N$ is bijective and bi-measurable.
- There is no periodic point in N .
- For any invariant measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T)$ with $\mu(N) = 1$, we have $h_{\sigma}(\mu) = 0$.

- For any invariant measure $\bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$ with $\bar{\mu}(\bar{N}) = 1$, we have $h_\sigma(\bar{\mu}) = 0$.

For the rest of this section, we assume that $(\mathcal{D}_T, \rightarrow)$ is irreducible. Then, the following lemma holds:

Lemma 2.2. ([11, Theorem 2 (iii)], [12, Page 377, Corollary 1 (ii)]) There is a unique pair of $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma^e(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$ and $m^+ \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma^e(\Sigma_T^+)$ of maximal entropy; that is,

$$h_\sigma(\bar{m}) = h_\sigma(m^+) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma).$$

Moreover, the following properties hold for \bar{m} and m^+ .

- (1) $m^+ = \bar{m} \circ (\Psi^+)^{-1}$, where $\Psi^+ := \pi \circ \Psi$ and $\pi: \Sigma_T \rightarrow \Sigma_T^+$ is a natural projection; $(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (2) There are two families $\{L(C)\}_{C \in \mathcal{D}}$ and $\{R(C)\}_{C \in \mathcal{D}}$ of real positive numbers satisfying the following properties:
 - $\bar{m}[C_1 \cdots C_n] = L(C_1)R(C_n) \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\}$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $C_1 \cdots C_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$.
 - Both $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}} L(C)$ and $\sup_{C \in \mathcal{D}} R(C)$ are finite.

Finally, we prove a weak Gibbs property for the unique measure of maximal entropy on Σ_T^+ , which has a key role in obtaining the large deviation bound in §3.

Lemma 2.3. Let $m^+ \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma^e(\Sigma_T^+)$ be as in Lemma 2.2. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}$, we can find $K = K_{\mathcal{F}} > 1$ such that

$$m^+[u] \leq K \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\} \quad (n \geq 1, u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma_T^+)),$$

$$m^+[u] \geq K^{-1} \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\} \quad (n \geq 1, u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}))).$$

Proof. Let \bar{m} , $\{L(C)\}_{C \in \mathcal{D}}$ and $\{R(C)\}_{C \in \mathcal{D}}$ be as in Lemma 2.2. For a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}$, we put

$$K = K_{\mathcal{F}} := \max \left\{ 2, LR, \left(\min_{C, D \in \mathcal{F}} L(C)R(D) \right)^{-1} \right\},$$

where we set $L := \sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}} L(C)$ and $R := \sup_{C \in \mathcal{D}} R(C)$. Note that $K < \infty$ by Lemma 2.2. Let $n \geq 1$ and $u = u_1 \cdots u_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}))$. Then, we can find a word $C_1 \cdots C_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})$ such that $[C_1 \cdots C_n] \subset (\Psi^+)^{-1}[u]$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} m^+[u] &\geq \bar{m}[C_1 \cdots C_n] = L(C_1)R(C_n) \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\} \\ &\geq K^{-1} \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) = \Sigma_T^+$ (see [12] for instance). For $n \geq 1$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma_T^+)$, we set

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(u) := \{C \in \mathcal{D} : [C] \cap (\Psi^+)^{-1}[u] \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$, we can find a unique word $P(C) = C_1 \cdots C_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$ such that $C_1 = C$ and $[C] \cap (\Psi^+)^{-1}[u] = [P(C)]$. It is easy to see that $\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{D}} [P(C)] = (\Psi^+)^{-1}[u]$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m[u] &\leq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \bar{m}[P(C)] \\ &\leq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}} L(C) R \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\} \\ &\leq K \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

In this section, we present a proof of Theorem A. First, we show the following analogous result of Theorem A on coding spaces:

Theorem B. *Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem A, (Σ_T^+, σ) be a coding space of (X, T) , and m^+ be the unique measure of maximal entropy on Σ_T^+ . Then, (Σ_T^+, σ) satisfies the large deviation principle with m^+ as reference, and the rate function $\mathcal{J}^+: \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T^+) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is expressed by*

$$\mathcal{J}^+(\mu^+) = \begin{cases} h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) - h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) & (\mu^+ \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T^+)), \\ \infty & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. To prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m^+((\delta_n^{\sigma})^{-1}(\mathcal{K})) \leq - \inf_{\mu^+ \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T^+)} (h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) - h_{\sigma}(\mu^+)) \quad (3.2)$$

for any closed set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T^+)$, and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m^+((\delta_n^{\sigma})^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) \geq - \inf_{\mu^+ \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T^+)} (h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) - h_{\sigma}(\mu^+)) \quad (3.3)$$

for any open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T^+)$. The upper bound (3.2) follows from the first inequality in Lemma 2.3 and [20, Theorem 3.2] (see also [6, §4]).

In what follows, we will show the lower bound (3.3). Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mu^+ \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T^+)$ and \mathcal{U} be an open neighborhood of μ^+ in $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T^+)$. To show (3.3), it is enough to show that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m^+((\delta_n^{\sigma})^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) \geq h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) - h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) - 2\epsilon.$$

In the following proposition, we use the assumption (DP) to show the entropy-density of ergodic measures, that is, for any invariant measure can be approximated by ergodic ones with similar entropies. The entropy-density plays a key role to estimate the lower bounds on large deviations (see e.g. [20, Section 1]).

Proposition 3.1. *There exist a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\rho^+ \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^e(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}))$ such that $\rho^+ \in \mathcal{U}$ and $h_{\sigma}(\rho^+) \geq h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) - \epsilon$.*

Remark 3.2. Recently, Takahasi [25] proved the entropy-density of ergodic measures with compact supports for countable Markov shifts. From this result, Proposition 3.1 follows if every invariant measure on Σ_T^+ can be lifted to $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$. Unfortunately, there are unliftable measures in general (see, e.g., [10, 16]). In the proof, to overcome this difficulty, we show that the set of liftable measures is entropy-dense in the set of invariant measures by using (DP).

Proof of Proposition 3.1. It follows from the condition (DP) and [26, Theorem A] that $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^p(\Sigma_T^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T^+)$. If $h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) - \epsilon \leq 0$, then we choose $\rho^+ \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^p(\Sigma_T^+) \cap \mathcal{U}$ and take a periodic point $\omega \in \Sigma_T^+$ in the support of ρ^+ . It follows from [12, Theorem 8] that there are finite vertices $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\Psi^+(\dots C_1 \dots C_n C_1 \dots C_n \dots) = \omega$ holds. We set $\mathcal{F} := \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$. Then, it is clear that $\rho^+ \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^e(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}))$ and $h_{\sigma}(\rho^+) = 0 \geq h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) - \epsilon$. This suffices for the case $h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) - \epsilon \leq 0$.

Hereafter, assume that $h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) - \epsilon > 0$. First, we define two push forward maps $\pi_*: \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T^+)$ and $\Psi_*: \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T)$ by $\pi_*(\vartheta) := \vartheta \circ \pi^{-1}$ for $\vartheta \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T)$, and $\Psi_*(\bar{\vartheta}) := \bar{\vartheta} \circ \Psi^{-1}$ for $\bar{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$. Then, π_* is a homeomorphism and $h_{\sigma}(\vartheta) = h_{\sigma}(\pi_*(\vartheta))$ holds for any $\vartheta \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T)$ (see [8, Proposition 5.8]). Moreover, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that Ψ_* is continuous, the restriction map $\Psi_*: \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T \setminus N)$ is well-defined and bi-measurable, and $h_{\sigma}(\bar{\vartheta}) = h_{\sigma}(\Psi_*(\bar{\vartheta}))$ holds for any $\bar{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{N})$.

We set $\mu := \pi_*^{-1}(\mu^+) \in \pi_*^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$. Then, we can find $0 \leq c \leq 1$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T)$ such that $\mu = c\mu_1 + (1-c)\mu_2$, $\mu_1(\Sigma_T \setminus N) = 1$ and $\mu_2(N) = 1$ hold. We note that $h_{\sigma}(\mu_2) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^p(\Sigma_T^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T^+)$. By the definition of π_* , we have $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^p(\Sigma_T^+) = \pi_*(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^p(\Sigma_T))$, and hence, $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^p(\Sigma_T)$ is also dense in $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_T)$. Therefore, we can find $\nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^p(\Sigma_T)$ such that $\nu := c\mu_1 + (1-c)\nu_2 \in \pi_*^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ and $h_{\sigma}(\nu) = h_{\sigma}(\mu)$ hold. Since N has no periodic point, $\nu_2(\Sigma_T \setminus N) = 1$, which also implies that $\nu(\Sigma_T \setminus N) = 1$. Hence, we can define $\bar{\nu} := \Psi_*^{-1}(\nu) \in (\pi_* \circ \Psi_*)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$. Note that $\bar{\nu}$ is supported on a transitive countable Markov shift $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$, and $(\pi_* \circ \Psi_*)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is open. Therefore, it follows from [25, Main Theorem] that there exist a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and an ergodic measure $\bar{\rho} \in (\pi_* \circ \Psi_*)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ supported on $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $h_{\sigma}(\bar{\rho}) \geq h_{\sigma}(\bar{\nu}) - \epsilon > 0$. Since $h_{\sigma}(\bar{\rho}) > 0$, we have $\bar{\rho}(\bar{N}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. We define an ergodic measure ρ^+ on Σ_T^+ by $\rho^+ := \pi_*(\Phi_*(\bar{\rho}))$. Clearly, we have $\rho^+ \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^e(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})) \cap \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, since $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{N})$, we have $h_{\sigma}(\bar{\rho}) = h_{\sigma}(\rho^+)$, which implies that $h_{\sigma}(\rho^+) \geq h_{\sigma}(\mu^+) - \epsilon$. \square

We continue the proof of Theorem B. Note that $\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}) \subset \Sigma_T^+$ is a subshift, and $\rho^+(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})) = 1$. Hence, from the estimates in [20], we have the following:

Lemma 3.3. ([20, Propositions 2.1 and 4.1]) There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$,

$$\#\{u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})) : [u] \subset (\delta_n^\sigma)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})\} \geq \exp\{n(h_\sigma(\rho^+) - \epsilon)\}.$$

For notational simplicity, for each $n \geq n_0$, we set $\mathcal{L}_{n,\rho^+} := \{u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Psi^+(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})) : [u] \subset (\delta_n^\sigma)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})\}$. Since \mathcal{F} is finite, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there is $K > 1$ such that $m^+[u] \geq K^{-1} \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\}$ for any $u \in \mathcal{L}_{n,\rho^+}$ and $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, by $\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_{n,\rho^+}} [u] \subset (\delta_n^\sigma)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m^+((\delta_n^\sigma)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})) \\ & \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m^+ \left(\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_{n,\rho^+}} [u] \right) \\ & = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{u \in \mathcal{L}_{n,\rho^+}} m^+[u] \\ & \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log (\#\mathcal{L}_{n,\rho^+} K^{-1} \exp\{-nh_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma)\}) \\ & \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log (K^{-1} \exp\{n(h_\sigma(\rho^+) - h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) - \epsilon)\}) \\ & \geq h_\sigma(\mu^+) - h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) - 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem B. □

Proof of Theorem A. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ and m be as in Theorem A with critical points $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = 1$. Then, it follows from Theorem B that (Σ_T^+, σ) satisfies the large deviation principle with the unique measure of maximal entropy m^+ on Σ_T^+ , and the rate function $\mathcal{J}^+: \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T^+) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is expressed by the equation (3.1).

Since the coding map $\mathcal{I}: X_T \rightarrow \Sigma_T^+$ is injective (see §2), the set

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \text{cl}(T^{-n}(a_{\omega_{n+1}-1}, a_{\omega_{n+1}})) \tag{3.4}$$

consists of a unique element for any $(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma_T^+$. Here, $\text{cl}(A)$ denotes the closure of a set A . We define a map $\Phi: \Sigma_T^+ \rightarrow X$ by $\Phi((\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = y$, where y is a unique element of the set (3.4), and let $\Phi_*: \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ be a push forward map; $\mu^+ \mapsto \mu^+ \circ \Phi^{-1}$. Then, the following properties hold (see [8] for instance):

- Φ is a continuous surjection, $\Phi(\mathcal{I}(X_T)) = X_T$, and the restriction map $\Phi: \mathcal{I}(X_T) \rightarrow X_T$ is bijective;
- $\Phi \circ \sigma(\omega) = T \circ \Phi(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{I}(X_T)$;
- $m = \Phi_*(m^+)$ and $m(X_T) = m^+(\mathcal{I}(X_T)) = 1$;
- $h_\sigma(\mu^+) = h_T(\Phi_*(\mu^+))$ if $\Phi_*(\mu^+) \in \mathcal{M}_T(X)$.

From the aforementioned properties, we have $m \circ (\delta_n^T)^{-1} = m^+ \circ (\delta_n^\sigma)^{-1} \circ \Phi_*^{-1}$. Hence, it follows from the contraction principle ([7, Theorem 4.2.1]) that (X, T) satisfies the large deviation principle with m , and the rate function $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is expressed by

$$\mathcal{J}(\mu) := \inf\{\mathcal{J}^+(\mu^+) : \mu^+ \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_T^+) \text{ with } \Phi_*(\mu^+) = \mu\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Hereafter, assume that T is piecewise increasing and either right or left continuous. Since $\mathcal{M}_\sigma^p(\Sigma_T^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma_T^+)$, it follows from [26, Theorem B and Lemma 2.7] that $\Phi_*(\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma_T^+)) = \mathcal{M}_T(X)$. Hence, $\mathcal{J}(\mu) = \infty$ if $\mu \notin \mathcal{M}_T(X)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mu) &= \inf\{h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_T^+, \sigma) - h_\sigma(\mu^+) : \mu^+ \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma_T^+), \mu = \Phi_*(\mu^+)\} \\ &= h_{\text{top}}(X, T) - h_T(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(X)$. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we apply Theorem A to demonstrate the large deviation principle for the concrete examples presented in §1. First, we provide a sufficient condition for (IR).

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a piecewise monotonic map with critical points $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = 1$, and we consider the following condition:

Exactness (EX). For any open interval $I \subset X$, there exist a positive integer τ , integers $1 \leq i_0, \dots, i_{\tau-1} \leq k$, and an open subinterval $L \subset I$ such that $T^j(L) \subset (a_{i_{j-1}}, a_{i_j})$ for all $0 \leq j \leq \tau - 1$ and $T^\tau(L) = (0, 1)$.

Lemma 4.1. The condition (EX) implies (IR).

Proof. Let $C, D \in \mathcal{D}$. To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to show that there are $n \geq 1$ and $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $C_1 = C$, $C_n = D$ and $C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Since $C, D \in \mathcal{D}$, there are $u = u_1 \cdots u_l$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_m$ in $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma_T^+)$ such that $C = \sigma^{l-1}[u]$ and $D = \sigma^{m-1}[v]$. If we set $I := \bigcap_{j=1}^l T^{-(j-1)}((a_{u_{j-1}}, a_{u_j}))$, then I is a non-empty open interval since $u \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_T^+)$. By the condition (EX), there exists an open subinterval $L \subset I$, $\tau \geq 1$, and $1 \leq i_0, \dots, i_{\tau-1} \leq k$ such that $T^j(L) \subset (a_{i_{j-1}}, a_{i_j})$ for $0 \leq j \leq \tau - 1$ and $T^\tau(L) = (0, 1)$. Since $T^{l-1}(I) \subset (a_{u_{l-1}}, a_{u_l})$, we have $l \leq \tau$. We set

$$w = \begin{cases} i_l \cdots i_{\tau-1} & (\tau > l), \\ \lambda & (\tau = l), \end{cases}$$

where λ denotes the empty word. Then, it is not difficult to see that $\sigma^\tau[uw] = \Sigma_T^+$. Hence, we have $\sigma^{\tau+m-1}[uvw] = D$, which proves the lemma. \square

Example 4.1. Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta > 2$, and $T = T_{\alpha, \beta}: X \rightarrow X$ be as in (1.2). Then, it follows from [13, Theorem 2] that $\mathcal{M}_\sigma^p(\Sigma_T^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma_T^+)$, and therefore, $\mathcal{M}_T^p(X)$ is also dense in $\mathcal{M}_T^e(X)$ (see also [26,

Theorem A]). Moreover, it is proved in [5, Proposition 3.14] that T satisfies the condition (EX). Hence, by Lemma 4.1 and Theorem A, (X, T) satisfies the large deviation principle with the unique measure of maximal entropy m . Since T is piecewise increasing and right continuous, the rate function $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is expressed by (1.1).

Example 4.2. Let $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 < \beta < 2$ and $T = T_{-\beta}: X \rightarrow X$ be as in (1.3). Since $\beta > (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, we can prove that T satisfies the condition (EX) in a similar manner as in the proof of [9, Proposition 8]. Hence, by Lemma 4.1, T satisfies (IR). Moreover, it is clear that T has two monotonic pieces and is transitive. Hence, it follows from [15, Theorem 2] that $\mathcal{M}_\sigma^p(\Sigma_T^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma_T^+)$, and hence, $\mathcal{M}_T^p(X)$ is also dense in $\mathcal{M}_T^e(X)$. Therefore, by Theorem A, (X, T) satisfies the large deviation principle with the unique measure of maximal entropy m .

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Hiroki Takahasi for fruitful discussions. They also thank anonymous referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and critical comments. The first author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03631 and the second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K03359 and 21K03321.

REFERENCES

- [1] Araujo, Vitor; Pacifico, Maria Jose Three-dimensional flows. With a foreword by Marcelo Viana. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*, 53. Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [2] Brucks, Karen M.; Bruin, Henk, *Topics from one-dimensional dynamics*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004, xiv+297 pp.
- [3] Buzzi, Jérôme, Specification on the interval, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997), no. 7, 2737–2754.
- [4] Buzzi, Jérôme, Subshifts of quasi-finite type, *Invent. Math.* 159 (2005), no. 2, 369–406.
- [5] Carapezza, Leonard; López, Marco; Robertson, Donald, Unique equilibrium states for some intermediate beta transformations, *Stoch. Dyn.* 21 (2021), no. 6, Paper No. 2150035, 25 pp.
- [6] Climenhaga, Vaughn; Thompson, Daniel J.; Yamamoto, Kenichiro, Large deviations for systems with non-uniform structure, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 369 (2017), no. 6, 4167–4192.
- [7] Dembo, Amir; Zeitouni, Ofer, *Large deviations techniques and applications*, Corrected reprint of the second (1998) edition. *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*, 38. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. xvi+396 pp.
- [8] Faller, Bastien, Contribution to the ergodic theory of piecewise monotone continuous map, Ph. D. thesis, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 2008.
- [9] Góra, Paweł, Invariant densities for generalized β -maps, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 27 (5) (2007), 1583–1598,
- [10] Hofbauer, Franz, β -shifts have unique maximal measure, *Monatsh. Math.* 85 (1978), no. 3, 189–198.
- [11] Hofbauer, Franz, Intrinsic ergodicity of piecewise monotonic transformations with positive entropy II, *Israel J. Math.* 38 (1-2) (1981), 107–115.
- [12] Hofbauer, Franz, Piecewise invertible dynamical systems, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 72 (1986), no. 3, 359–386.
- [13] Hofbauer, Franz, Generic properties of invariant measures for simple piecewise monotonic transformations, *Israel J. Math.* 59 (1987), no. 1, 64–80.

- [14] Hofbauer, Franz; Keller, Gerhard, Equilibrium states for piecewise monotonic transformations, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 2 (1982), no. 1, 23–43.
- [15] Hofbauer, Franz; Raith, Peter, Density of periodic orbit measures for transformations on the interval with two monotonic pieces, Dedicated to the memory of Wiesław Szlenk. *Fund. Math.* 157 (1998), no. 2-3, 221–234.
- [16] Keller, Gerhard, Lifting measures to Markov extensions, *Monatsh. Math.* 108 (1989), no. 2-3, 183–200.
- [17] Ito, Shunji; Sadahiro, Taizo, Beta-expansions with negative bases, *Integers* 9 (2009), A22, 239–259.
- [18] Oprocha, Piotr; Potorski, Pawel; Raith, Peter, Mixing properties in expanding Lorenz maps. *Adv. Math.* 343 (2019), 712–755.
- [19] Parry, William, Representations for real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 15 (1964), 95–105.
- [20] Pfister, Charles-Edouard; Sullivan, Wayne G, Large deviations estimates for dynamical systems without the specification property, Applications to the β -shifts. *Nonlinearity* 18 (2005), no. 1, 237–261.
- [21] Rényi, Alfréd, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 8 (1957), 477–493.
- [22] Sigmund, Karl. On dynamical systems with the specification property, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 190 (1974), 285–299.
- [23] Sigmund, Karl. On the distribution of periodic points for β -shifts. *Monatsh. Math.* 82 (1976), no. 3, 247–252.
- [24] Takahashi, Yōichirō, Entropy functional (free energy) for dynamical systems and their random perturbations, *Stochastic analysis (Katata/Kyoto, 1982)*, Ed. K. Itō, North-Holland Math. Library, 32, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp.437–467.
- [25] Takahasi, Hiroki, Entropy approachability for transitive Markov shifts over infinite alphabet, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 148 (2020), no. 9, 3847–3857.
- [26] Yamamoto, Kenichiro, On the density of periodic measures for piecewise monotonic maps and their coding spaces, *Tsukuba J. Math.* 44, (2020), no. 2, 309–324.
- [27] Young, Lai-Sang, Some large deviation results for dynamical systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 318 (1990), no. 2, 525–543.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA 739-8527, JAPAN

Email address: chung@amath.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION, NAGAOKA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, NAGAOKA 940-2188, JAPAN

Email address: k.yamamoto@vos.nagaokaut.ac.jp